

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/622,544	KALINDJIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

(2) Ms. Mary Till.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 November 2004

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Some text was left undeleted in claim 31. A telephone call was made to Ms. Till to request deletion.

A brief description of the drawings is missing. This will be entered by Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)